Alcohol dependence is characterized by excessive consumption, loss of control over intake, and the presence of a withdrawal syndrome, including both motivational and physical symptoms. The motivational symptoms, including anxiety, have been hypothesized to be important factors eliciting excessive drinking during abstinence. Previous work has shown that ethanol-dependent rats also display enhanced anxiety-like behaviors and enhanced ethanol self-administration during withdrawal, likely resulting from dysregulation of brain corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF) stress systems. The present study was designed to explore the brain sites within the extended amygdala [central nucleus of the amygdala (CeA), lateral bed nucleus of the stria terminalis (BNST), and nucleus accumbens shell (NAcSh)] that mediate the increased ethanol self-administration observed during withdrawal. Ethanol-dependent animals showed an increase in ethanol self-administration after acute withdrawal relative to nondependent controls. The CRF antagonist D-Phe-CRF12–41 ([D-Phe12,Nle21,38,C16MeLeu37]rCRF12–41) was administered into the CeA, lateral BNST, or NAcSh of acute-withdrawn dependent and nondependent rats. Administered into the CeA, the antagonist reduced ethanol self-administration in dependent animals, with no effect in nondependent animals. Administration of D-Phe-CRF12–41 into the lateral BNST and NAcSh was without effect on ethanol self-administration in dependent and nondependent animals. At the same time point of withdrawal, there was a decrease in CRF immunoreactivity within the CeA, suggesting an increased extracellular release of CRF during withdrawal. There was no change in CRF immunoreactivity in the BNST or NAcSh. These results indicate that CRF, specifically within the CeA, plays a role in mediating excessive ethanol consumption in ethanol-dependent animals.
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**Introduction**

Alcoholism is a chronic relapsing disorder characterized by compulsive use of alcohol and a loss of control over intake. As dependence develops, there is a shift from controlled use to uncontrolled, excessive consumption of alcohol, which has been argued to be a shift from positive to negative reinforcement ultimately driving continued alcohol use (Koob, 2003; Koob et al., 2004). Cessation of chronic alcohol use is often accompanied by negative emotional symptoms, such as increased anxiety, and alleviation of these negative emotional states is hypothesized to be a major driving force for continued alcohol consumption (Hershon, 1977; Koob, 2003). Similar to human alcoholics, ethanol-dependent animals display enhanced anxiety-like behaviors and excessive ethanol self-administration during periods of withdrawal (Baldwin et al., 1991; Becker, 1999; Roberts et al., 2000; Overstreet et al., 2002; Rimondini et al., 2002; Becker and Lopez, 2004; O’Dell et al., 2004), providing a model system for studying the motivational changes associated with ethanol dependence.

Dysregulation of brain corticotropin-releasing factor stress systems has been implicated in mediating increased anxiety-like behaviors during withdrawal (Menzaghi et al., 1994). Regions of the extended amygdala [central nucleus of the amygdala (CeA), lateral bed nucleus of the stria terminalis (BNST), and nucleus accumbens shell (NAcSh)] comprise part of the “extrahypothalamic” CRF system. These nuclei contain high amounts CRF terminals, cell bodies, and receptors (Merchenthaler et al., 1982; Van Pett et al., 2000; Dong et al., 2001), and numerous studies have demonstrated an involvement of the extended amygdala in mediating the behavioral and physiological responses associated with anxiety (LeDoux et al., 1988; Walker and Davis, 1997). The increased anxiety-like behaviors during ethanol withdrawal are believed to result, in part, from increased extracellular CRF within the extended amygdala (Merlo Pich et al., 1995; Olive et al., 2002), and central administration of CRF antagonists can attenuate these behaviors (Baldwin et al., 1991; Rassnick et al., 1993; Valdez et al., 2002). Ethanol-dependent animals also orally self-administer increased amounts of ethanol during withdrawal (Roberts et al., 2000; Becker and Lopez, 2004; O’Dell et al., 2004), effects also blocked by decreasing CRF activity (Valdez et al., 2002).
CRF antagonists, injected intracerebroventricularly, reduce both the enhanced anxiety-like behaviors and excessive ethanol consumption during withdrawal (Valdez et al., 2002). Unknown are the specific sites within the extended amygdala responsible for the CRF component of excessive drinking. The purpose of the present study was to explore the role of CRF, within the extended amygdala, in mediating excessive ethanol self-administration during acute withdrawal. Using an intermittent ethanol vapor exposure paradigm to induce dependence in Wistar rats (O’Dell et al., 2004), it is reported here that a CRF receptor antagonist, when administered intra-BNST or intra-NAcSh, selectively reduces ethanol self-administration in ethanol-dependent, but not nondependent, animals. The antagonist was without effect when administered intra-BNST or intra-NAcSh. Furthermore, CRF immunoreactivity within the CeA, but not the BNST or NAcSh, is decreased during acute withdrawal in dependent animals. These data indicate that CRF within the CeA plays an important role in mediating excessive ethanol consumption during withdrawal in ethanol-dependent animals.

Materials and Methods

Animals. Sixty-eight male Wistar rats weighing 180–200 g at the start of the experiment were obtained from Charles River Laboratories (Kingston, NY). Animals were housed two to three per cage with food and water available ad libitum. Lights were on a 12 h light/dark cycle, with lights on at 6:00 A.M. All procedures met the guidelines of the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

Drugs. Ethanol (10% w/v), for oral self-administration, was prepared using 95% ethyl alcohol and water. The nonspecific peptide CRF antagonist d-Phe-CRF2-41 (d-Phe12,Nle21,38,Ca MeLeu37)-CRF2-41) was provided by Dr. Jean Rivier (The Salk Institute for Biological Studies, La Jolla, CA). Immediately before use, d-Phe-CRF2-41 was dissolved in 0.5× PBS, pH 7.4, and kept on ice until injection. All injections were given intracranially, 5 min before operant self-administration testing.

Operant ethanol self-administration. Ethanol self-administration was established in standard operant chambers (Coulbourn Instruments, Allentown, PA) housed in sound-attenuated ventilated cubicles. Animals were trained to orally self-administer ethanol or water in a concurrent, two-lever, free-choice contingency. Syringe pumps (Razel Scientific Instruments, Stamford, CT) dispensed ethanol or water into two stainless steel drinking cups mounted 4.0 cm above the grid floor in the middle of one side panel. Two retractable levers were located 4.5 cm to either side of the drinking cups. Fluid delivery and recording of operant self-administration were controlled by a microcomputer. Lever presses were not recorded during the 0.5 s in which the pumps were active. A continuous reinforcement [fixed ratio-1 (FR1)] schedule was used such that each response resulted in delivery of 0.1 ml of fluid. Fluid delivery and recording of operant responding were controlled by a microcomputer.

Rats were trained to press a lever for ethanol using a modification of the sweetened solution fading procedure (Samson, 1986). No fluid or food restriction period was used. This training method culminates in rats consuming sufficient unsweetened 10% ethanol to produce pharmacologically relevant blood alcohol levels (BALs) (Roberts et al., 1999). Rats were initially trained to press a lever for a sweetened solution containing glucose (3% w/v) and saccharin (0.125% w/v) (Sigma, St. Louis, MO). Ethanol self-administration was initiated by adding ethanol (10% w/v) to the sweetened solution for 4–5 d, followed by 4–5 d of 10% ethanol plus 0.125% saccharin only. Finally, the animals received the 10% ethanol solution alone. During all training sessions, rats were also allowed to press for water on the opposite lever. The lever that produced water or ethanol was altered daily to prevent selecting rats biased toward one lever. The animals received daily (5 d per week) 30 min access to ethanol for 20–25 d until stable rates of intake were observed. The criterion for stable baseline intake was ±20% across three consecutive sessions. Testing was performed at 8:00 A.M. (lights on at 6:00 A.M.).

Ethanol vapor exposure procedure. To induce ethanol dependence, two standard rat cages were housed in separate, sealed, clear plastic chambers into which ethanol vapor was intermittently introduced. Ethanol vapor was created by dripping 95% ethanol into 2000 ml Erlenmeyer vacuum flasks kept at 50°C on a warming tray. Air was blown over the bottom of the flask at 11 L/min to vaporize the ethanol. The concentration of ethanol vapor delivered was adjusted by varying the rate at which ethanol was pumped into the flasks and ranged from 22 to 27 mg/L. The chambers were connected to a timer that would turn the ethanol vapor on (4:00 P.M.) and off (6:00 A.M.) every day, allowing animals to receive ethanol vapor for 14 h and control air for 10 h (O’Dell et al., 2004). Blood samples were taken at 6:00 A.M. for BAL determination every 3 d during vapor exposure. Tail blood (0.5 ml) was collected into heparinized Eppendorf tubes. After centrifugation, the plasma was extracted with tri-chloroacetic acid and assayed for ethanol content using the nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide–alcohol dehydrogenase enzyme spectrophotometric method (Sigma). Target BALs were 150–200 mg% across a 4 week exposure period. This paradigm has been shown to produce physical dependence, demonstrated by the appearance of somatic withdrawal signs after removal from the chambers (Roberts et al., 2000; O’Dell et al., 2004).

Intracerebral cannulations and drug infusions. Rats were anesthetized with an isoflurane–oxygen mixture, and 26 gauge, 7.5 mm stainless steel guide cannulas (Plastics One, Roanoke, VA) aimed 2 mm above the desired brain regions were stereotaxically implanted bilaterally. With the incisor bar set at −3.3 from interaural 0 (flat skull), the coordinates were as follows: CeA, −2.6 mm anteroposterior, ±4.2 mm mediolateral, −5.2 mm dorsoventral from dura; lateral BNST, −0.35 mm anteroposterior; ±3.5 mm mediolateral (15° vertical tilt), −4.5 mm dorsoventral from dura; and NAcSh, ±1.7 mm anteroposterior, ±1.0 mm mediolateral, 5.6 mm dorsoventral from dura (Paxinos and Watson, 1998). The guide cannulas were secured to the skull with dental cement and anchor screws, and guide cannulas were inserted with stylinwires to protect the brain tissue. Rats were allowed to recover at least 5 d before continuation of experiments.

Intracerebral injections were administered with the use of injectors (33 gauge; Plastics One) that projected 2 mm past the guide cannula into the desired brain region. The injectors were attached to 70 cm of calibrated polyethylene-20 tubing preloaded with drug solution. Injection volumes were 0.5 μl/side, infused over 1 min using Hamilton microsyringes connected to the injectors with polyethylene tubing. Drug delivery was controlled by a Harvard Apparatus (Holliston, MA) infusion pump. After drug delivery, the injectors were left in place for 60 s and then replaced with the protective wire stylinets. After a 5 min preincubation period, the animals were then placed into the self-administration chambers for testing.

Histology. At the completion of the experiment, animals were killed with an overdose of pentobarbital and perfused transcardially, first with saline and then with a 4% paraformaldehyde solution. Brains were submerged and removed from the skull and frozen. The brains were sectioned in 60 μm slices, mounted, and stained with cresyl violet. Injection sites were verified under a light microscope. Only animals with correct, bilateral cannula placements were used for statistical analysis. In the CeA study, four animals had incorrect probe placements and were removed from the group. Three animals were removed from the NAcSh group, and five animals were removed from the lateral BNST group because of incorrect probe placement.

CRF immunohistochemistry. Rats were heavily anesthetized with pentobarbital, pre-perfused transcardially with a solution of 0.5 ml heparin/100 ml saline for 1–2 min, and then perfused with a solution of 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M PBS, pH 7.3, for 11 min with a flow rate of 22 ml/min. Brains were removed, postfixed for 24 h in paraformaldehyde, transferred to PBS containing 16% sucrose, and sequentially transferred to 18 and 20% sucrose solutions. Brains were frozen on dry ice, and serial coronal CeA, BNST, and NAcSh sections of 40 μm were obtained using an American Optical (Buffalo, NY) sliding microtome. All sections were collected free-floating in either PBS for immediate use or in a cryoprotectant storing solution for future analysis.

Control and experimental groups were run in parallel within the same immunohistochemical procedures. Free-floating sections were rinsed in PBS, incubated for 20 min in 1% hydrogen peroxide PBS solution to quench endogenous peroxidase activity, rinsed several times in PBS, and incubated in a blocking solution containing 0.1% bovine serum albumin.
Briefly, all samples were incubated in a secondary biotinylated anti-goat antibody, rinsed in PBS, and incubated in an avidin/biotin horseradish peroxidase complex ABC kit (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame CA). After several rinses in PBS, sections were mounted on coated glass slides, air dried, dehydrated through a series of BSA, and 2% normal horse serum for 24 h at 4°C.

Quantitative analysis to obtain unbiased estimates of the total number of CeA CRF-positive cell bodies was performed on a Zeiss Axiophot microscope equipped with a Zeiss AxiosCam MRc digital camera for photography.

Experimental procedure. Rats were first trained to self-administer 10% ethanol on an FR1 schedule of reinforcement. Once stable baseline responding was attained, rats were surgically implanted with bilateral in-ethanol on an FR1 schedule of reinforcement. Once stable baseline re-peated for photography.

Quantification of CRF-positive cell bodies. Quantitative analysis to obtain unbiased estimates of the total number of CeA CRF-positive cell bodies was performed on a Zeiss Axiophot microscope equipped with a Zeiss AxiosCam MRc digital camera. All photographs were taken at 20× magnification using identical light intensity and exposure times. All images were imported into Scion (Frederick, MD) Image version 1.63 based on NIH Image, and the black and white images were inverted to simulate dark-field illumination. Three separate standard area contours were drawn for each digital image. The selected contours included one for positive immunoreactivity, one for negative control area (no immunoreactivity), and one for nonspecific DAB background with no specific CRF immunoreactivity. Mean optical density numbers were derived from the subtracting the negative background and the nonspecific DAB background from the positive CRF-immunoreactive areas.

CRF density measurements. The relative density of CRF-immunoreactive cell bodies, fibers, and terminals in the CeA and the BNST were assayed. Fifty 40 µm serial sections through the CeA and the BNST for each animal were used for analysis. Bright-field digital images were acquired using an Axioskop microscope equipped with a Zeiss AxiosCam MRc digital camera. All photographs were taken at 20× magnification using identical light intensity and exposure times. All images were imported into Scion (Frederick, MD) Image version 1.63 based on NIH Image, and the black and white images were inverted to simulate dark-field illumination. Three separate standard area contours were drawn for each digital image. The selected contours included one for positive immunoreactivity, one for negative control area (no immunoreactivity), and one for nonspecific DAB background with no specific CRF immunoreactivity. Mean optical density numbers were derived by subtracting the negative background and the nonspecific DAB background from the positive CRF-immunoreactive areas.

Results

Effects of the CRF receptor antagonist D-Phe-CRF12–41 administered intra-CeA on ethanol and water self-administration in dependent and nondependent rats

For the CeA group (dependent, n = 10; nondependent, n = 9), animal weights at the end of the experiment were 536.6 ± 25.9 g for nondependent rats and 533.3 ± 21.3 g for dependent rats. Levels of ethanol lever responding, before exposure to chronic vapors, were 20.7 ± 1.6 presses (dependent) and 19.3 ± 1.9 (nondependent). Prevapor levels of water lever responding were 7.5 ± 1.3 (dependent) and 8.4 ± 1.3 (nondependent). There was no difference in prevapor responding between the dependent and nondependent groups. The mean BAL across the entire period of ethanol vapor exposure was 180 ± 36.6 mg%.

Figure 1 shows the effects of D-Phe-CRF12–41 (0.0, 0.125, 0.25, and 0.5 µg/µl) administered directly into the CeA on ethanol and water self-administration in dependent and nondependent animals. In the 30 min test session, after vehicle injection (0.5% PBS), the dependent animals pressed ~67 times (1.24 g/kg) for 10% ethanol compared with 20.2 ethanol presses (0.36 g/kg) in the nondependent animals. Based on previous studies from our laboratory, these levels of ethanol intake (grams per kilogram) are estimated to result in BALs ranging from 25–50 mg% (nonde-pendent) to 125–150 mg% (dependent) (Roberts et al., 1999). For ethanol self-administration, the two-way ANOVA revealed a significant effect of ethanol exposure (F1,17) = 230.35; p < 0.0001), a significant effect of D-Phe-CRF12–41 dose (F(1,5) = 20.1; p < 0.0001), and a significant interaction between ethanol exposure and D-Phe-CRF12–41 dose (F(3,5) = 17.24; p < 0.0001). Additional analysis revealed a significant reduction in ethanol self-administration in dependent animals at the 0.5 µg/µl dose of D-Phe-CRF12–41 compared with the 0 µg/µl dose (p < 0.0001). Furthermore, at the 0.5 µg/µl dose of D-Phe-CRF12–41, there was no longer a significant difference between the dependent and nondependent groups of animals. However, no dose of D-Phe-CRF12–41 was effective in altering ethanol-self administration in nondependent animals (F < 1.0). For water self-administration, the two-way ANOVA revealed no effect of ethanol vapor exposure (F < 1.0), no effect of D-Phe-CRF12–41 dose (F < 1.0), and no interaction between ethanol exposure and D-Phe-CRF12–41 dose (F < 1.0).

A potential caveat is that the site-specific injections may diffuse to other, surrounding brain regions. Thus, degree of spread into other, surrounding brain regions. Thus, degree of spread into other, surrounding brain regions.
dent nor nondependent) in response to D-Phe-CRF₁₂–₄₁. The following are the data (average ± SEM) for ethanol lever responding in the three dependent animals: 0.0 ± 0.0 g/l dose (72.7 ± 3.9), 0.25 g/l (73.6 ± 3.2), 0.5 g/l (70.7 ± 1.8), 1.0 g/l (67.3 ± 4.1).

Effects of the CRF receptor antagonist D-Phe-CRF₁₂–₄₁ administered intra-lateral BNST on ethanol and water self-administration in dependent and nondependent rats

For the lateral BNST group (dependent, n = 9; nondependent, n = 8), animal weights at the end of the experiment were 518.1 ± 34.9 g for nondependent rats and 540.3 ± 12.5 g for dependent rats. Levels of ethanol lever responding, before exposure to chronic vapors, were 17.5 ± 0.9 presses (dependent) and 15.7 ± 0.9 presses (nondependent). Prevapor levels of water lever responding were 5.6 ± 1.2 (dependent) and 6.8 ± 1.9 (nondependent). There was no difference in prevapor responding between the dependent and nondependent groups. The mean BAL across the entire period of ethanol vapor exposure was 179.2 ± 32.7 mg%.
pendent animals. In the 30 min test session, after vehicle injection (0.5× PBS), the dependent animals pressed ~65.2 times (1.18 g/kg) for 10% ethanol compared with 19 ethanol presses (0.28 g/kg) in nondependent animals. For ethanol self-administration, the two-way ANOVA revealed a significant effect of ethanol exposure ($F_{(1,15)} = 78.4; p < 0.0001$) but no effect of D-Phe-CRF$_{12–41}$ dose ($F_{(3,45)} = 1.11; p = .35$) and no interaction between ethanol exposure and D-Phe-CRF$_{12–41}$ dose ($F < 1.0$). For water self-administration, the two-way ANOVA revealed no effect of ethanol vapor exposure ($F_{(1,15)} = 4.2; p = 0.06$), no effect of D-Phe-CRF$_{12–41}$ dose ($F < 1.0$), and no interaction between ethanol exposure and D-Phe-CRF$_{12–41}$ dose ($F < 1.0$).

**Effects of the CRF receptor antagonist D-Phe-CRF$_{12–41}$ administered intra-NAcSh on ethanol and water self-administration in dependent and nondependent rats**

For the NAcSh group (dependent, $n = 7$; nondependent, $n = 7$), animal weights at the end of the experiment were 602.6 ± 27.7 g for nondependent rats and 585.3 ± 19.2 g for dependent rats. Levels of ethanol lever responding, before exposure to chronic vapors, were 18.2 ± 1.3 presses (dependent) and 17.7 ± 1.4 presses (nondependent). Prevapor levels of water lever responding were 5.3 ± 0.5 presses (dependent) and 3.4 ± 0.4 presses (nondependent). There was no difference in prevapor responding between the dependent and nondependent groups. The mean BAL across the entire period of ethanol vapor exposure was 184.5 ± 30.8 mg%.

Figure 3 shows the effects of D-Phe-CRF$_{12–41}$ (0.0, 0.125, 0.25, and 0.5 μg/μl) administered directly into the NAcSh on ethanol and water self-administration in dependent and nondependent animals. In the 30 min test session, after vehicle injection (0.5× PBS), the dependent animals pressed ~61 times (1.16 g/kg) for 10% ethanol compared with 16 ethanol presses (0.26 g/kg) in nondependent animals. For ethanol self-administration, the two-way ANOVA revealed a significant effect of ethanol vapor exposure ($F_{(1,12)} = 155.4; p < 0.0001$) but no effect of D-Phe-CRF$_{12–41}$ dose ($F < 1.0$) and no interaction between ethanol exposure and D-Phe-CRF$_{12–41}$ dose ($F < 1.0$). For water self-administration, the two-way ANOVA revealed no effect of ethanol vapor exposure ($F < 1.0$), no effect of D-Phe-CRF$_{12–41}$ dose ($F < 1.0$), and no interaction between ethanol exposure and D-Phe-CRF$_{12–41}$ dose ($F < 1.0$).

**Verification of injection site**

Figure 4 illustrates representative light micrographs from each of the brain regions studied, demonstrating site specificity of the cannulas. Probe placements were verified using the Paxinos and Watson (1998) stereotaxic atlas. Only animals with bilateral probe placements in the intended brain regions were used for statistical analysis.

**CRF immunoreactivity in the CeA**

Figure 5 shows the relative density of CRF immunoreactivity within the CeA ($n = 3$ animals). Fifty 40 μm serial sections through the CeA for each animal were used for analysis of CRF immunoreactivity. The data were analyzed using Student’s $t$ test. From bregma, these sections were −2.12, −2.30, −2.56, −2.80, and −3.14 mm. There was a significant decrease in CRF immunoreactivity in the CeA at bregma −2.80 and −3.14 mm in withdrawn, dependent animals relative to control ($p < 0.01$). Total CRF immunoreactivity was also significantly decreased in the CeA (Table 1). Figure 5 also illustrates representative micrographs from the CeA (bregma −2.80 mm), demonstrating CRF staining within this brain region.

In addition to quantifying total CRF immunoreactivity within the CeA, the total number of CRF-positive cells was also analyzed. The total number of CRF-positive cells (estimated total optical fractionator) was 1580 ± 125.3 for nondependent animals and 1295 ± 80 for dependent animals. There was no significant difference between the two groups of animals ($p = 0.188$). Thus, the significant decrease in total CRF immunoreactivity within the CeA likely reflects a decrease in CRF within fibers.
CRF immunoreactivity in the lateral BNST

Figure 6 shows the relative density of CRF immunoreactivity within the lateral BNST (n = 3 animals). Five 40 μm serial sections through the lateral BNST for each animal were used for analysis of CRF immunoreactivity. The data were analyzed using Student’s t test. From bregma, these regions were −0.20, −0.26, −0.30, −0.40, and −0.80 mm. Although there was a trend toward a decrease in dependent animals, there was no significant change in CRF immunoreactivity in the BNST in dependent animals compared with control. Total CRF immunoreactivity was also not significantly altered in the lateral BNST (p = 0.08) (Table 1). Figure 6 also illustrates representative micrographs from the lateral BNST (bregma −0.3 mm), demonstrating CRF staining within this brain region.

CRF immunoreactivity in the NAcSh

We observed no detectable CRF immunoreactivity within the NAcSh of ethanol-dependent or nondependent animals (data not shown).

Discussion

Excessive, uncontrolled drinking and the presence of a withdrawal syndrome after cessation of alcohol intake are two of the diagnostic criteria for dependence in humans (American Psychiatric Association, 1994). Furthermore, human alcoholics report that a negative emotional state, especially enhanced anxiety, experienced during withdrawal is an important factor eliciting relapse and binge drinking during periods of abstinence (Hershon,
tested after a 2 h period of withdrawal, a time point in which by chronic exposure to intermittent ethanol vapors and exposure (O’Dell et al., 2004). Here, animals were made dependent continuously to alcohol (Overstreet et al., 2002). Furthermore, intermittent ethanol vapor exposure induces a more rapid increase in self-administration of ethanol relative to continuous exposure (O’Dell et al., 2000; Rimondini et al., 2002; O’Dell et al., 2004). It has been hypothesized that withdrawal-induced behaviors may result from “kindling”-like processes (Becker, 1998; Breese et al., 2005). Animal model systems for ethanol dependence have been developed that mimic excessive drinking and withdrawal (Roberts et al., 2000; Rimondini et al., 2002; O’Dell et al., 2004). There has been no significant change in CRF immunoreactivity in the BNST in dependent animals compared with control. A, Representative micrographs (20×) from the lateral BNST (bregma −0.30 mm) demonstrating CRF staining within this brain region. Error bars indicate SEM.

Figure 6. CRF immunoreactivity in the lateral BNST. Ethanol dependence was induced by intermittent exposure to ethanol vapors for 4 weeks, and animals were killed after 2 h of withdrawal. Brains were removed and CRF expression was measured in the lateral BNST using immunohistochemical techniques. The data are expressed as the relative density of CRF immunoreactivity (n = 3 animals). A, CRF immunoreactivity was measured from five serial sections throughout the lateral BNST. From bregma, these sections were −0.20, −0.26, −0.30, −0.40, and −0.80 mm. Although there was a trend toward a decrease in dependent animals, there was no significant change in CRF immunoreactivity in the BNST in dependent animals compared with control. B, Representative micrographs (20×) from the lateral BNST (bregma −0.30 mm) demonstrating CRF staining within this brain region. Error bars indicate SEM.

CRF within the CeA mediates anxiety-like behaviors in animals (Heinrichs et al., 1992; Liang et al., 1992; Walker and Davis, 1997), and the CeA receives multiple sources of input from CRF-rich areas, including the basolateral nucleus, the lateral BNST, and paraventricular hypothalamic nucleus (Uryu et al., 1992; Sun and Cassell, 1993; Cassell et al., 1999). During withdrawal, there is an increase in extracellular CRF within the CeA of dependent rats (Merlo Pich et al., 1995), and the data presented here suggest that CRF within the CeA plays an important role in mediating enhanced ethanol self-administration during acute withdrawal. Because the increase in extracellular CRF was observed to begin 6 h after withdrawal and the behavioral effects of CRF antagonists at 2 h, the argument may be made that D-Phe-CRF12–41 is acting indirectly to decrease ethanol self-administration. However, these timing differences can likely be explained by procedural differences. Merlo Pich et al. (1995) used an ethanol liquid diet for 2 weeks, which yielded an average BAL of ~125 mg%. Using the ethanol vapor method, a much higher and more stable average BAL was attained (175–200 mg%) for 4 weeks. Furthermore, intermittent versus chronic ethanol exposure can induce dependence much more rapidly (O’Dell et al., 2004), thus the precipitous drop in blood alcohol levels would be a powerful withdrawal response at 2 h into withdrawal. Administration of CRF antagonists directly into the CeA of ethanol-dependent animals has been shown to reduce anxiety-like behaviors after acute withdrawal (Rasnick et al., 1993), supporting the hypothesis that animals consume excessive amounts of ethanol during withdrawal to alleviate “anxiety” produced by withdrawal. Furthermore, lesions of the CeA reduce the anxiogenic-like effect of restraint stress and reduce voluntary ethanol intake (Moller et al., 1997), demonstrating the importance of this brain region in mediating anxiety and ethanol consumption.

The cellular mechanisms underlying the enhancement of ethanol self-administration by enhanced CRF activity remain unknown. Studies suggest a role for GABA neurotransmitter systems and, at the molecular level, protein kinase signaling pathways. In ethanol-dependent rats, there is an increased
GABAergic transmission within the CeA (Roberto et al., 2004), an effect likely mediated by CRF systems (Nie et al., 2004). It is suggested that CRF₁ receptors, within the CeA, may act as autoreceptors and chronic ethanol exposure may increase this CRF-mediated autoinhibition. Furthermore, the increase in GABAergic activity within the CeA may lead to excitation by disinhibiting downstream neurons (Nie et al., 2004). Protein kinase signaling pathways may also be involved in CRF-mediated increases in ethanol withdrawal-induced behaviors (Pandey et al., 2003). There are two types of high-affinity CRF receptors, CRF₁ (Chang et al., 1993; Chen et al., 1993) and CRF₂ (Lovenberg et al., 1995), both inducing an increase in intracellular CAMP and protein kinase A (PKA) activation during stimulation (Giguere et al., 1982; Chen et al., 1986). During acute withdrawal in rats, there is a reduction in the phosphorylation of CAMP-response element binding protein in the CeA, correlating with increased anxiety-like behaviors and ethanol drinking (Pandey et al., 2003). These data suggest that the activity of the cAMP/PKA signaling pathway is also decreased during withdrawal, which may result from altered CRF receptor expression and/or altered CRF receptor coupling within the CeA.

Within the NAcSh, the CRF antagonist was without effect, consistent with the known role of this brain region in mediating primarily the positive reinforcing properties of ethanol (Di Chiara and Imperato, 1988; Koob and Le Moal, 1997). D-Phe-CRF₁2–41, administered into the lateral BNST, also was without effect. Furthermore, there was no significant change in CRF immunoactivity within the lateral BNST. These results are somewhat surprising because extracellular CRF release is also elevated within the BNST during withdrawal (Olive et al., 2002), and, like the CeA, the BNST plays a role in mediating anxiety-like behaviors (Walker and Davis, 1997). However, studies suggest that, although the CeA and lateral BNST mediate similar behaviors, they are activated in response to different types of stressors (Walker and Davis, 1997). For example, administration of a CRF antagonist into the CeA attenuates morphine priming-induced reinstatement of morphine conditioned place preference (CPP), whereas administration of the antagonist into the BNST attenuates footshock-stress-induced reinstatement of morphine CPP (Wang et al., 2006). Furthermore, administration of a CRF antagonist in the lateral BNST, but not CeA, reduces stress-induced cocaine reinstatement (Erb and Stewart, 1999), and administration of a CRF antagonist into the CeA, but not lateral BNST, reduces the behavioral signs of opiate withdrawal in opiate-dependent animals (Heinrichs et al., 1995; McNally and Akil, 2002). Although the mechanisms by which each region preferentially contributes to ethanol withdrawal-induced anxiety-like behavior remain unknown, our data suggest that the CeA plays a primary role in the expression of excessive ethanol self-administration in dependent animals.

D-Phe-CRF₁2–41 binds to and inhibits both CRF₁ and CRF₂ receptors. The CRF₁ receptor plays an important role in mediating anxiety-like behavior (Heinrichs et al., 1997; Smith et al., 1998; Timpl et al., 1998; Mulller et al., 2003; Zorrilla and Koob, 2004). These data, along with studies demonstrating the importance of CRF₁ in mediating alcohol withdrawal-induced behaviors (Overstreet et al., 2004) and ethanol self-administration (Funk et al., 2006), suggest the potential of developing novel CRF₁ compounds for the treatment of alcohol dependence. However, because chronic exposure to some CRF₁ antagonists have been shown to alter dopaminergic systems (Lawrence et al., 2005) and cholecystokinin systems (Lodge and Lawrence, 2003), it will be important to more thoroughly investigate the chronic effects of these antagonists. A few studies also suggest a potential role for CRF₂ receptors in mediating ethanol withdrawal-induced behaviors, albeit in an opposite manner to CRF₁ (Valdez et al., 2003).

In summary, the present study shows a site-specific action of CRF in mediating excessive ethanol intake during withdrawal in dependent rats. These data have important clinical implications for the treatment of alcohol dependence in humans. Dysregulation of CRF stress systems may represent a long-lasting change within the brain resulting from chronic ethanol consumption, and the present study pinpoints the CeA as a critical region for these changes. During cessation of ethanol use, CRF stress systems become overactive, likely causing negative emotional states in humans, such as heightened anxiety. Novel pharmacotherapies aimed at treating alcohol-induced anxiety and depression may prove to be successful in treating relapse and excessive drinking during withdrawal.
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